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ABSTRACT

The adequacy of user models depends mainly on the accuracy and precision of information that is retrieved to the user. The real challenge in user modelling studies is due to the inadequacy of data, improper use of techniques, noise within the data and imprecise nature of human behavior. For the best results of user modelling, one should choose an appropriate way to do it i.e. by selecting the best suitable approach for the desired domain. Machine learning and Soft computing Techniques have the ability to handle the uncertainty and are extensively being used for user modeling purpose. This paper reviews various approaches of user modeling and critically analyzes the machine learning and soft computing techniques that have successfully captured and formally modelled the human behavior.
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1. INTRODUCTION

User Modeling is the process of building an user model. A user model contains the systems assumptions about all aspects of the user which are deemed relevant for tailoring the dialog behavior of the system to the user [11]. Figure 1 depicts the process of user modeling, considering all the aspects discussed in this paper. The main goal of user modeling is customization and adaptation of systems to the user specific needs. User model is a set of information structures designed to represent one or more of the following elements: (1) Representation of assumptions about the goals, plans, preferences, tasks and abilities and the knowledge about one or more types of users; (2) Representation of relevant common characteristics of users pertaining to specific user subgroups (stereotypes); (3) The classification of a user in one or more of these subgroups; (4) The recording of user behavior; (5) The formation of assumptions about the user based on the interaction history and/or (6) The generalization of the interaction histories of many users into stereotypes.[12] The information a user model has is directly proportional to the personalization content and presentation that is to be personalized. A user model is created through a process of User Modeling (UM) in which unobservable information about a user is inferred from observable information from that user; for example, using the interactions with the system [11].
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User models can be created using 1) Explicit approach where the user influences the model creation by explicitly stating interests, 2) Implicit approach where the user model is automatically created by capturing the user interests. The user-guided explicit approach produces adaptable services and adaptable user models, while the automatic (implicit) approach produces adaptive services and adaptive user models [13]. Main information that may need to be captured about users is - Personal information (name, address, telephone number, geographical data, age, gender, education, civil status etc), user’s knowledge about concepts, and relationships between concepts in an application domain, user abilities on how to perform the tasks in the domain, user interests and preferences, user goals and plans on the interaction with the system and usage data i.e. the way as a user interacts with the system.[14]

The organization of this paper is as follows: the paper first describes the concept of user modeling; second section discusses the role of domain modeling in various application domains. In third section the approaches of user modeling are discussed. In section four, the various techniques for user modeling are discussed.

2. USER MODELING FOR APPLICATION DOMAINS

A user model specifies features, needs, preferences and goals of end users. It can vary according to the domain or environment. Every domain model has a high level of abstraction that represents the formulation of a problem, knowledge or activity of the real world termed as Domain Model [14] as shown in figure 2.

Domain modeling is performed according to the following tasks also shown in figure 2:

Goal modeling: The general goal of the system can be identified by considering the problem that the system intends to solve, the refinement of which leads to specific goals. Product of task: Goal Model.

Role modeling: The responsibilities exercised by internal and external roles are identified for each specific goal. Then, the activities allowing the exercise of each responsibility are defined. During this refinement process, it can be identified that the same activity or a set of related activities are executed by several roles. In this case, it should be appropriate to create an independent role having the responsibility of executing these activities on behalf of the other
roles. The resources that an individual playing a role will need to execute his/her activities are also identified. Product of task: Role Model.

![Diagram of Domain Model](image)

**Figure 2: Domain Model**

*Interaction modeling:* Through an analysis of their respective activities, the interactions between internal and external roles are identified. Product of task: Interaction Model.

*Concept modeling:* It is the process of identifying the domain, the information about domain, important concepts in the domain and relationships among these concepts. Existing software applications in the domain are also analyzed in order to identify commonalities and differences between them. Product of task: Conceptual Model.

User Modeling implements three types of basic tasks: Classification, Recommendation and User Profiling.

*Classification:* Classification is the process of building a model that maps or classifies data items into one of several predefined classes.

*Recommendation:* Recommendation is the capability of suggesting interesting elements to a user based on some extra information not based on the past behavior of the user; for example, from the items to be recommended or from the behavior of other users. There are two types of recommendation techniques viz. (a) Content-based (similarities between content) and (b) Collaborative (similarities between users).

*User Profiling:* A user profile is a collection of personal information[15]. The information is stored without adding further description or interpreting this information. It is comparable to a getting-setting mechanism of classes in object-oriented programming, where different parameters are set or retrieved. User profiles represent few characteristics of users such as cognitive skills, intellectual abilities, intentions, learning styles, preferences and interactions with the system. User behavior is the most intensively studied context information in the state-of-the-art literature. The user models are basically divided in four main domains, viz. (a) Information Retrieval and Filtering, (b) Intelligent Tutoring Systems, (c) Intelligent User Interfaces, (d) Adaptive Web Applications.

*Information retrieval and filtering* consists of user models that represent the users interests in a form of keywords, concepts or topics and provide relevant information to the user [8]. Some of the relevant work in information retrieval and filtering is: the user model that models user's interests by a multi-layer tree [10] with a structure that is dynamically changeable, the top layers
of which are used to model user interests on fixed categories, and the bottom layers are for dynamic events. Hochul Jeon et. al. [5] used Collaborative Filtering to propose an adaptive user profiling method using dynamic updating policy considering the change of the user preferences over time and domain. Xuehua Shen et. al. [7] proposed an approach to exploit implicit user modeling to intelligently personalize information retrieval and improve search accuracy. This approach is used to infer users interest from the users search context and use the inferred implicit user model for personalized search. Xuehua Shen et. al. developed an intelligent client-side web search agent (UCAIR- User-Centered Adaptive Information Retrieval) that can perform eager implicit feedback.

Intelligent tutoring systems consists of user models that select educational activities and deliver individual feedback that is most relevant to the user’s level of knowledge.

Intelligent User Interfaces are a special case of adaptive systems which instead of adapting contents of applications, adapt the actual interface through which the user interacts with the system. Intelligent interfaces can be - adaptive i.e the interfaces that automatically modifies the performance or adaptable i.e. user can modify the interface according to his/her preferences or a combination of both. Silvia Schiafino and Anala Amandi [1] discussed the main issues concerning user profiles: how a user profile is composed; how a user profile can be acquired; and how a user profile can be used. The Adaptive Web Applications are the user models that adapt the contents of a web page or provide navigation support to the user. Jae-wook Ahn et. al. [3] proposed a Web-based system for personalized news access to the user. Like many other adaptive news access systems, YourNews observes a user’s news reading behavior, constructs a user model (profile) representing user interests, and uses this model to recommend the most relevant news articles. Fabian Abel et. al. [2] developed a user modeling framework for Twitter and investigated how the different design alternatives influence the characteristics of the generated user profiles. David and William [4] reported Social Media Sources for Personality Profiling. They have discussed the causes that affect type and difficulty of pre-processing to extract appropriate text, the accuracy of training, the time period sampling for training texts with a special emphasis on Social Media Peculiarities and Implications for Personality Profiling.

3. USER MODELING APPROACHES

This section describes the ways of user modeling i.e. the approaches of UM which include Relevance feedback, Ontology-based, UM in Mobile Environments and Demographic. In particular, the chosen approaches focus on the role of user modeling for enhanced and personalized user support within interactive applications rather than providing an exhaustive review.
3.1. User Modeling based on Relevance Feedback

Relevance Feedback is the most popular query reformulation strategy where the user is presented with a list of the retrieved documents and marks that are relevant after examining them[16]. The general idea is to reformulate the original query vector $Q_{org}$ into new query vector $Q_{new}$ such that it gets closer to the term - weight vector of the relevant documents. For this purpose the Rocchio formulation is being widely used.

Gwizdka and Jacek [17] contributed their work in personalization for news stories relevance from user's perspective. They inferred relevance from eye-tracking data and observed if it is related to the cognitive effort involved in relevance judgments. Hassan et. al. [18] developed a Web browser add-in to monitor user search activity across search engines and collect explicit judgments of user satisfaction with the global search goal. Their model can also be used to optimize different search engine components. A method that uses task level success prediction to provide a better interpretation of click through data. Click though data has been widely used to improve relevance estimation. Their system was used to evaluate Search Results Quality and improve the relevance estimation. Jiang et. al. [19] proposed a novel method of Multimodal Pseudo Relevance Feedback (MMPRF) for event search in the video, which does not require search examples from the user. Their experiments proved that Pseudo Relevance Feedback has great potential in retrieval tasks, to tackle the event search task which is inherently multi modal. MMPRF technique takes the advantage of multiple modalities and multiple ranked lists to enhance event search performance in a principled way.

Table 1 : Examples of some user models based on relevance feedback

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Feedback Supported</th>
<th>UM Representation</th>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>Key Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gwizdka et. al [17]</td>
<td>Explicit</td>
<td>Statistical measures for relevance calculation</td>
<td>AQUAINT Corpus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hassan et. al [18]</td>
<td>Explicit</td>
<td>Probabilistic models</td>
<td>Data from all major web search engines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiang et. al [19]</td>
<td>Explicit</td>
<td>MMRF</td>
<td>TREC VID Multimedia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bogdan et. al[20]</td>
<td>Implicit</td>
<td>multi-class SVM</td>
<td>Div400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Boteanu et. al. [20] proposed a new hybrid approach that integrates both the automatization power of the machines and the intelligence of human observers via an optimized multi class Support Vector Machine (SVM) classifier-based relevance feedback. Their approach involved a classifier-based relevance feedback and consisted of two steps. The first step- an optimized multi-class Support Vector Machine (SVM) classifier-based relevance feedback with an objective to use user input to categorize the images in a number of distinct classes. The second step- the actual diversifier and consisted of an intra and inter-class image diversification strategy which was operated on the SVM class output confidence scores.
3.2. Ontology Based User Modeling

Ontology has been a basis for the construction of a user model [22] in several personalized systems ranging from information delivery systems to Intelligent Tutoring Systems [23]. In this section, we briefly discuss few important systems of this kind.

Razmerita et. al [24] proposed an ontology-based user modeling (OntobUMF) architecture. OntobUMF architecture consists of three layers namely- the user front layer, a middleware layer or a service layer and an ontology and a data layer. This ontology-based user modeling system integrates three ontologies: *User ontology* that includes different user’s characteristics and their relationships. *Domain ontology* that captures the domain or application specific concepts and their relationships. *Log ontology* which represents the semantics of the user interaction with the system. In [25] the user profile is represented as hierarchy of concepts. The concepts are adopted from a reference ontology of around 4,400 concepts taking the top level categories from Magellan web site. myPlanet [26] is an ontology based personalized news delivery system. Simple relationships among the concepts inside the domain have been used to filter out information relevant to the user.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Collection</th>
<th>User Mode Representation</th>
<th>Key Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Implicit/Explicit</td>
<td>Dataset</td>
<td>OntobUMF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explicit</td>
<td>Real time data</td>
<td>OntobUMF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implicit</td>
<td>Web Search logs</td>
<td>SWULPM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explicit</td>
<td>Web documents</td>
<td>YAGO general purpose ontology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implicit</td>
<td>Web documents</td>
<td>SWUM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plumbaum et. al [29]</td>
<td>Web documents</td>
<td>SWUM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Zeng et. al. [27] proposed two different approaches for acquisition of users knowledge requirements about course content in an e-learning system. The ontology of course is represented as hierarchy of concepts. The first approach relies on interactive question-answer session and the historical session logs are analyzed to determine users requirements. The second approach is based on users reading behavior logs while reading e-documents. The actions considered by the user in this work are underline, highlight, circle, annotation and bookmark. Zhang et al. [28] proposed a system for constructing user models automatically by monitoring the users browsing behaviors in every session. The system tracks the usage logs by means of Semantic Web Usage Log Preparation Model (SWULPM). The user model consists of personal ontology which is represented through concept graph. Plumbaum et. al. [29] presented a new user model, the Social Web User Model (SWUM) that is fitted to the needs of the Social Web. Aroyo et. al. [30] provided a method for automatic acquisition of user knowledge through an ontology based dialog system. An ontology based dialog agent, called OWL-OLM, interacts with the user to analyze the current state of the user’s knowledge according to the needs for a particular course task. Silvia Calegari and Gabriella Pasi [14] considered the problem to personalize user's web searches for improving the quality of results. They proposed a preliminary methodology that allowed to define a conceptual user profile based on the YAGO ontology.
3.3. UM in Mobile Environment

Lee et al. [31] implemented the real-time activity recognition application on a Smartphone with the Google android platform. They attempted to recognize actions in real time on the Android platform, and recognize the user's activities from a recognized set of actions. In this, the uncertain time-series acceleration signal is analyzed by using hierarchical hidden markov models.

Table 3: Examples of some User models in Mobile environment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Collection</th>
<th>UM Representation</th>
<th>Key Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Implicit/Explicit</td>
<td>Dataset</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et. al[30]</td>
<td>Explicit</td>
<td>Real time data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norman et. Al[31]</td>
<td>Implicit</td>
<td>LBE privacy guard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gauglitz et. al. [32]</td>
<td>Explicit</td>
<td>No standard dataset used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et. al.[33]</td>
<td>Implicit</td>
<td>Mobile footprint of 22 users</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The recognition models are designed as hierarchy of actions and activities. The structure consists of two steps of HMMs to analyze acceleration data and recognize a user's behavior. After acceleration data is collected from a three axis accelerometer on a Smartphone, it is transferred to low-level HMM to classify users’ actions and then high-level HMM is used to recognize a user's activities from the set of actions. Continuous HMMs with Gaussian distribution are used to recognize a user's action from the acceleration data.

Norman et.al.[32] analyzed personnel’s privacy preferences when it comes to granting permissions to different mobile apps. Their results are based on the analysis of settings of 4.8 million Smartphone users of a mobile security and privacy platform. They used LBE, a rooted version of the Android platform that has been in use by several million people and that has allowed its users to manually configure 12 particularly sensitive Android permissions. They differentiated between users who have passively accepted default settings selected by LBE on their behalf and those more active users who went through the trouble of modifying these settings. The LBE app organizes all API calls by permissions.

Gauglitz et. al.[33] described a framework and prototype implementation for unobtrusive mobile remote collaboration on tasks that involve the physical environment. The system used the Augmented Reality paradigm and marker less, model-free, visual tracking to facilitate decoupled, live updated views of the environment and world-stabilized annotations while supporting a moving camera and unknown, unprepared environments. They used a multi-level, active search patch tracker with normalized cross-correlation (NCC)-based template matching and key frame-based recovery.

Zhang et. al.[34] proposed an approach that used multimodal mobile sensor and log data to build a novel user modeling framework called mFingerprint that can effectively and uniquely depict users. They analyzed multimodal mobile usage data to extract simple yet effective statistics that can uniquely represent mobile users. They particularly focused on designing
frequency and entropy based statistical features to capture mobile device usage patterns. DBSCAN clustering algorithm was applied to the stationary segments in order to identify important locations.

3.4. Demographic User Modeling

Demographic user modeling is the process of creating user models based on the user’s demographic attributes such as age, gender, race, etc. It is very important to understand the variations in the user’s online behavior based on these attributes. Goel et. al. [35] surveyed who does what on web i.e. which demographics people are engaged in what activities. The relevant literature on user modeling, capturing search behavior and information retrieval based on demographic attributes are discussed here.

Bilal et. al. [36] investigated children's cognitive, effective, and physical behaviors as they use the Yahooligans! Search engine to find information on a particular search task. The participants of this project were twenty-two seventh-grade science children from a middle school located in Knoxville, Tennessee. Their cognitive and physical behaviors were captured using Lotus ScreenCam (a Windows-based software package) that captures and replays activities recorded in Web browsers. Their affective states were captured via a one-on-one interview. A new measure called Web Traversal Measure was developed to measure children's weighted traversal effectiveness and efficiency scores, as well as their quality moves in Yahooligans! Children's prior experience in using the Internet/Web and their knowledge of the Yahooligans! Interface were gathered via a questionnaire.

Sergio Duarte Torres et. al.[37] employed toolbar logs from a commercial search engine to characterize the browsing behavior of young aged users, particularly for understanding the activities on the Internet that trigger search activity. The proportion of browsing and search activity in the toolbar sessions estimated the likelihood of a user to carry out search on the Web vertical and multimedia verticals (i.e. videos and images) given the previous event is another search event or a browsing event which was quantified. It was even observed that these metrics clearly demonstrate an increased level of confusion and unsuccessful search sessions among children. They also found a clear relation between the reading level of the clicked pages and characteristics of the users such as age and educational attainment.

Bernard J. Jansen et. al. [38] evaluated the effect of gender targeted advertising on the performance of sponsored search advertising. They used the Microsoft ad Center Labs Demographics Prediction Tool [74]. This application takes a given search phrase and provides the probability that the query is male or female-oriented (i.e., provides a probability for both within range of 0-1 inclusive).

J Hu. et al.[39] made a first attempt to predict users gender and age from their Web browsing behaviors, where the Webpage view information is treated as a hidden variable to propagate demographic information between different users.

Weber and Castillo [40] presented a query logs study on how search differs in users with different demographic attributes. To describe search patterns and behaviors they used demographic information that was derived from the US-census and user profile information for population segments with different demographic characteristics. They also employed an analogous methodology to show that the reading level of the urls clicked by children also varies across demographic features.
Liat Antwarg et al. [41] introduced a novel approach to generate an intention prediction model of user interactions with system. As part of this new approach, they included personal aspects in the system, user characteristics, that can increase prediction accuracy. The model is automatically trained according to the fixed attributes of user(e.g., demographic data such as age and gender) and the users sequences of actions in the system.

Yuxiao et al. [42] studied human interactions on demographics by investigating a country-wide mobile communication network. Considering this, they discovered a set of social strategies stemming from human communications.

The literature discussed so far focuses on the precise retrieval of results, demographics is considered for an appropriate user interface in use. Gossen et al. [43] presented a new user interface called Knowledge Journey. It is a web search user interface for young users in the primary school age.

### 4. KR Formalisms for user modeling

A user model consists mainly of knowledge about the individual preferences which determine the user’s interaction behavior. Like in the most knowledge based approaches, two are the main issues that user modeling faces: The user model representation and acquisition. The process of generation of user models using machine learning or soft computing techniques can be seen as a standard process of extracting knowledge from data where UM is used as a wrapper for the entire process.

Table 5 gives the general characteristics of the two techniques presented along seven dimensions viz. Knowledge Representation (KR) which states how knowledge is represented in most of the cases, input given to the techniques, Uncertainty-the ability of the techniques to handle uncertainty in modeling human behavior i.e., to produce a user model that takes into account the inherent fuzziness of UM, Dynamic Modeling- which indicates the suitability of the technique to change a user model on-the-fly, the interpretability of the results- how easy it is for a human to understand the knowledge captured, (considered a critical dimension), the human aspect of techniques and the state of output.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Collection</th>
<th>UM Representation</th>
<th>Demographics captured and Key Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Implicit/Explicit</td>
<td>Dataset</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuxiao et. al [42]</td>
<td>Explicit</td>
<td>1 billion call &amp; text-message events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Hu. Et al. [39]</td>
<td>Implicit</td>
<td>Yahoo Search logs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liat Antwarg [41]</td>
<td>Implicit</td>
<td>Real Dataset website BGU</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5: Characteristics of Machine Learning and Soft Computing based Models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KR</th>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Uncertainty Supported</th>
<th>Dynamic Modelling</th>
<th>Interpretability</th>
<th>Human Aspects</th>
<th>Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ML</td>
<td>Hierarchical representation/Predicate logic</td>
<td>Observations and assumptions</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Behaviour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>Predicate Logic</td>
<td>Assumptions</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Mentalist &amp; Behavior</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The field of machine learning and soft computing is vast, versatile, and fascinating. It can be viewed as judicious mixture of computational intelligence and applied statistics. The machine learning methods are Supervised and Unsupervised. Supervised learning requires the training data to be pre classified. This means that each training item is assigned a unique label, signifying the class to which the item belongs and includes techniques like k-NN, SVM, Decision tree classifiers, probabilistic models and classifiers. The unsupervised learning methods do not require preclassification of the training examples and form clusters of examples, which share common characteristics. Prominent techniques are Association Rules, K-means clustering and Self Organizing Maps.

The following section presents how these learning techniques have been used for UM: which knowledge can be captured with each technique, examples of applications, and its limits and strengths.

4.1. Machine Learning based User Models

Machine learning (ML) methods have been applied to user modeling, mainly for acquiring models of individual users interacting with an information system[44][45]. In situations like this, the use of the system by an individual is monitored and the collected data are used to construct the model of the user, i.e., his/her individual requirements. ML offers a suite of powerful techniques either for user model acquisition or for user community induction. ML techniques support complex decision making tasks and improve the prediction quality of a UM software [46].

The basic belief about machine learning techniques is that there is a process that explains the data we observe. Though we do not know the details of the process underlying the generation of data, we know that it is not completely random. Machine learning has two approaches, Content based and Collaborative.

4.1.1. SVM

Support Vector Machines (SVMs) are pattern classifiers based on a novel statistical learning technique proposed by Vapnik[47]. Primarily SVM is a learning machine intended for binary classification problem. Following are few examples of SVM classifiers for this context. Tang et. al. [9] used SVM for identifying the homepage of a person, and to define features where whether the title of the page contains the person name and whether the URL address (partly) contains the person name. Gershman et. al. [48] contributed their work in personalization of news for the user where SVM identifies positive and negative examples of news. The experiments were performed using SVM_pref and SVM_Rank which are available in the open
source. Boteanu et. al. [20] proposed a multi-class SVM classification framework wherein individual binary SVM classifier is trained so that each classifier generates a confidence score for each of the output classes. The final fusion of these scores achieves the multi-class attribution.

4.1.2. Bayesian Approach

Interest has been growing steadily in the application of Bayesian representations and inference methods for modeling the goals, preferences, and needs of users. The Bayesian approach consists of Bayesian network and Bayesian classifier. A Bayesian network (BN) is a compact, expressive representation of uncertain relationships among variables of interest in a domain. A BN is a directed acyclic graph where nodes represent random variables and arcs represent probabilistic correlations between variables. The absence of edges in a BN denotes statements of independence. A BN also represents a particular probability distribution, the joint distribution over all the variables represented by nodes in the graph. This distribution is specified by a set of conditional probability tables (CPT). Each node has an associated CPT that specifies the probability of each possible state of the node given each possible combination of states of its parents.

Probabilistic model, the Bayesian hidden score (BHS) model can be used for dynamic pairwise learning[6]. Kuenzer et. al.[51] evaluated Dynamic Bayesian Networks for predicting the future user events. Hongning and Zhai et. al.[52] studied the problem of user modeling in the search log data and proposed a generative model, dpRank, within a non-parametric Bayesian framework. Tedesco et. al.[53] investigated the integration of distributed, fragmented user models by means of Bayesian Networks.

4.1.3. Decision Tree

Decision tree learning [55] is a method for approximating discrete-valued functions with disjunctive expressions. Decision tree learning is generally best suited to problems where instances are represented by attribute-value pairs and the target function has discrete output values. Classification rules are an alternative representation of the knowledge obtained from classification trees. They construct a profile of items belonging to a particular group according to their common attributes. In the context of UM, decision trees can be used to classify users and/or documents in order to use this information for personalization purposes. Decision trees can also handle noisy data and/or data with missing parameters, which makes them very useful for creating user models due to the noisy and imprecise nature of the data available. Classification trees are typically used to implement Classification tasks. In this case, the Classification trees are used to construct user models to personalize the user experience [56]. Due to their ability to group users with similar characteristics, Classification tree can also be used to implement recommendation tasks [57]. Classification rules are widely used to model user behavior as they provide a straightforward framework to represent knowledge. The readability of the output knowledge is a great advantage of this approach.

4.2. Soft Computing based User Models

Machine learning techniques have some limitations for modeling human behavior, mainly the lack of any reference to the inherent uncertainty that human decision-making has. This problem can be partially solved with the introduction of Soft Computing (SC) for UM. SC is an innovative approach to building computationally intelligent systems that differs from conventional (hard) computing in that it is tolerant of imprecision, uncertainty and partial truth.
The guiding principle of soft computing is to exploit the tolerance for imprecision, uncertainty and partial truth to achieve tractability, robustness and low solution cost.

### 4.2.1. Neural Networks

A Neural Network (NN) is an information processing paradigm composed of a large number of highly-interconnected processing elements (neurons) working in unison to solve special problems. NNs are able to derive meaning from complicated and/or imprecise data and to extract patterns that are too complex to be noticed by other computational techniques. No initial knowledge about the problem that is going to be solved is required. These characteristics make NNs a powerful method to model human behavior and useful technique to create user models for hypermedia applications. NNs have been extensively used for user modeling, mainly for classification and recommendation in order to group together users with the same characteristics and create profiles. Lemoine and Piat et. al.[58] uses NN to classify user navigation paths. Self Organizing Maps (SOM) is a type of unsupervised NN that has also been extensively used for recommendation, because it transforms high dimensional data into a two dimensional grid, grouping elements with the same characteristics. Goren-Bar et. al.[59] use SOM to classify documents based on a subjectively predefined set of clusters in a specific domain. Roh et. al.[60] used SOM to create a recommendation system for movies and Changchien et. al.[61] used SOM to create a recommendation system for ecommerce.

### 4.2.2. Evolutionary and Genetic Representations

Genetic Algorithms (GAs) and Evolutionary Algorithms (EAs) [62] are search algorithms based on the mechanics of natural selection. They begin with a set of potential solutions called the population. Solutions from one population are taken and used to form a new population, which are closer to the optimum solution to the problem at hand. The idea behind this process is the survival of the fittest. In general, GAs and EAs have been used for Recommendation in the form of rules, which can capture user goals and preferences, because they perform a global search and cope better with attribute interaction than algorithms used in data mining, where the search is more local. Romero et. al. [63] proposed an approach for student modeling, and Lee et. al. [59] presented an approach for capturing users preferences for improvement of web searches. They have also been applied for filtering [65] and for classification, as in [66], which uses GAs to model bankruptcy prediction of companies. This approach is suitable for searching vast, complex, and multi modal problem spaces but may have some limitations with respect to its potential for dynamic modeling and its computational complexity.

### 4.2.3. Fuzzy Logic

Fuzzy Logic (FL) [67] [68] defines a framework in which the inherent ambiguity of real information can be captured, modeled and used to reason under uncertainty. A key concept in FL theory is the notion of the fuzzy set. A fuzzy set expresses the degree of membership of an element in that set. When compared to traditional binary or multi-valued logic, in which the degree of truth takes values from a discrete finite set, in fuzzy logic the degree of truth can take continuous values between [0,1]. This characteristic allows capturing the uncertainty inherent to real data. FL is not a machine learning technique; nevertheless, due to its ability to handle uncertainty, it is used in combination with other machine learning techniques in order to produce behavior models that are able to capture and manage the uncertainty of human behavior. Some examples of these combinations are Fuzzy Clustering, Fuzzy Association Rules, and Fuzzy Bayesian Networks. Another alternative is to capture user models with a machine learning technique (possibly with some kind of representation of uncertainty) and use FL inference to implement the personalization engine. A traditional FL inference system processes
knowledge in three steps: fuzzifies the input data, conducts fuzzy inference based on fuzzy information and defuzzifies the fuzzy decisions to produce the final outcome. FL in UM does not necessarily realize all of the three steps, but maybe only a subset of them.

![Flame diagram showing FL in UM]

Figure 4: Techniques for User Modeling

Hybrid approaches have also been a keen research area for modeling human behavior. The most successful part of examples of applications reviewed already is used in modeling behavior considering their own advantages in the respective domain. Figure 4 shows the techniques that are commonly used for this purpose, and also states if they fall into which learning method. Hybrid approaches facilitates the model to deal with more uncertainties considering the complexity of an application. Neural networks and fuzzy logic[69], or neural networks and genetic algorithms[66], clustering and fuzzy logic[70][72] or genetic algorithms and rule extraction show great potential for UM. The combination of these SC techniques among themselves and with other machine learning techniques e.g. Bayesian approach with fuzzy logic[71] provide a useful framework to efficiently model the natural complexity of human behavior.

Table 6: Criteria of selection of Techniques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Technique</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Classification</td>
<td>Two class classification</td>
<td>SVM [48,49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Data with missing parameters</td>
<td>Decision trees[55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multi-class classifier</td>
<td>Bayesian classifier [20], SVM[54]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation</td>
<td>Users with the similar characteristics</td>
<td>Decision tree [56] NN[58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uncertainties in user characteristics</td>
<td>Fuzzy approach [72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User Profiling</td>
<td>Belief of users background and actions</td>
<td>Bayesian network[73]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. CONCLUSIONS

Data deluge in recent past has caused the User to be more demanding for relevant and personalized services. Formal modeling of User is key for intelligent automated services that gave way to broader research interest in user models. This paper has presented a detailed review of existing approaches for user modeling. This paper also critically analyzed the machine learning and soft computing techniques that have tried to overcome the challenges in human behavior modeling due to various forms of uncertainty. Use models based on demographics, relevance feedback (implicit & explicit) are studied and approaches are compared. We also
reviewed user modeling for smart devices as user behavior is significantly different in mobile environment. We analyzed the significance of formalizing the user models with ontologies as it facilitates the automated user modeling which can also be adaptive with respect to time. Finally we discussed the machine learning and soft computing techniques along with how the knowledge about user is formalized and represented (using KR formalisms) and reasoned (classification, Recommendation).
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