A FUZZY FRAMEWORK FOR SEGMENTATION, FEATURE MATCHING AND RETRIEVAL OF BRAIN MR IMAGES
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ABSTRACT

This paper proposes a complete framework based on fuzzy logic, for the retrieval of MRI images of the brain. In our system, the MRI image is segmented using the fuzzy local information c means (FLICM) algorithm. Region, location and shape properties are extracted from each region. These properties are represented as fuzzy features. Thus each image is represented by a set of fuzzy features corresponding to the various regions obtained after segmentation. To compute the overall similarity between two images, unified feature matching (UFM) is used. This measure integrates the properties of all the regions in an image. Our system can be applied to distinguish between the tumor and normal magnetic resonance images. Also the location feature of each region, especially of the tumor region, obtained after segmentation can be used to determine the type and symptoms of the brain tumor. Images from the brain web were used for experimentation.

KEYWORDS

Fuzzy logic, Segmentation, Clustering, Feature Matching, Fuzzy Similarity

1. INTRODUCTION

These days, the amount of medical data generated in the form of images is increasing tremendously. These images of various imaging modalities like computer tomography and Magnetic Resonance Imaging are generated daily. They are used by researchers and doctors for decision making. The development of systems for managing and archiving of these data is becoming a strenuous job. These data if organized properly can be used for aiding the doctors in diagnosis procedures.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging is an imaging technique that is useful for distinguishing the various soft tissues of the body. This technique is used for imaging brain, heart and other muscles. While this technique is advantageous compared to Computed Tomography (CT) and X ray techniques, there arise certain issues.

The brain tissue can be classified into three types on the basis of the brain MR image. They are Grey Matter (GM), White Matter and Cerebro Spinal Fluid (CSF). These tissues can be differentiated by their intensity ranges. There are different clustering algorithms which segment the MR image based on intensity values. But uncertainties arise in MRI images due to the noise and partial volume effects. Simple hard clustering algorithms will not be effective. These uncertainties can be modelled effectively using fuzzy logic [1]. Thus the image can be segmented by incorporating fuzzy techniques into segmentation algorithms.
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For effective organization these MR images are stored in databases. The existing databases make use of a simple keyword based querying techniques. These databases are dependent on data entry. There may be manual errors in these databases. This kind of retrieval is highly insufficient. In order to make the database more useful we need a content based retrieval system (CBIR). The content based retrieval systems make use of the properties in the images for indexing and retrieval. The features of the images need to be used rather than simply labels for efficient diagnosis.

The aim is to develop a fuzzy framework for effective retrieval of images. The first step in any kind of image analysis is the image segmentation. The segmentation of the brain MRI to distinguish between the tumour and normal tissues has been under research for long years. To automate the entire system unsupervised clustering [2] is used for the segmentation of the brain MRI images. This partitions the image into different regions. After segmentation the features are extracted from each region and a fuzzy representation of the image is obtained. Then the unified feature matching [3] is used for integrating the properties of all the regions in the image.

2. Fuzzy Framework

The main aim is to develop an operational fuzzy framework for the retrieval of brain MR Images. This framework helps to distinguish between tumour and non-tumour images. Also retrieves tumour images based on the location of the tumour. This location information is very useful to the diagnosis as the symptoms, type and treatment of the tumour varies with the location of the tumour.

The framework consists of the following stages:

2.1 Image Pre-processing and Segmentation
2.2 Feature Extraction
2.3 Fuzzy Feature Representation
2.4 Unified Feature Matching

The system architecture is given below:

![Figure 1. System Architecture](image-url)
The Fuzzy Processing Unit is given below:

![Fuzzy Processing Unit](image)

**Figure 2. Fuzzy Processing Unit**

### 2.1. Image Pre Processing and Segmentation

#### 2.1.1 Image Pre Processing

The pre processing is done to improve the contrast of the brain MR image. This is done using a Fuzzy Intensification operator [4]. For an image I, the grey level at (m, n) is given by $g_{mn}$, and the maximum and minimum value of the grey level is given by $g_{max}$ and $g_{min}$. The membership function is defined as

$$
\mu_{mn} = \left[ 1 + \frac{g_{max} - g_{mn}}{F_d} \right]^{-F_e}
$$

Where $F_d$ and $F_e$ are the denominational and the exponential fuzzifiers respectively that controls the amount of greyness ambiguity. The membership values are modified using the intensification operator,

$$
\mu'_{mn} = 2[\mu_{mn}]^2, 0 \leq \mu_{mn} \leq 0.5
$$

$$
= 1 - 2[1 - \mu_{mn}]^2, 0.5 \leq \mu_{mn} \leq 1
$$

Once the membership values are modified, modified grey values are transformed to spatial domain using an inverse function,

$$
g_{mn} = G^{-1}(\mu_{mn})
$$

Where,

$$
G^{-1}(\mu_{mn}) = g_{max} - F_d[(\mu_{mn})^{F_e} - 1]
$$
2.1.2 Fuzzy Segmentation

The segmentation of the contrast enhanced image is done using five algorithms, Fuzzy C Means, Enhanced Fuzzy C Means, Suppressed Fuzzy C Means, Fast Generalized Fuzzy C Means and Fuzzy Local Information C Means. The algorithms differ by their objective functions. All the algorithms, except fuzzy c means, incorporate the local and spatial information into their objective functions. The results of segmentation are compared with the manually segmented images from the Internet Brain Segmentation Repository.

Of the five algorithms considered the Fuzzy Local Information C Means is found to be the most effective in terms of the Correlation Index and Mean Squared Error.

The correlation index is given by,

$$
\rho = \frac{\sum_{m} \sum_{n} (A_{mn} - \overline{A})(B_{mn} - \overline{B})}{\sqrt{\left( \sum_{m} \sum_{n} (A_{mn} - \overline{A})^2 \right) \left( \sum_{m} \sum_{n} (B_{mn} - \overline{B})^2 \right)}}
$$

Table 1. Correlation Index of various images

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image #</th>
<th>FCM</th>
<th>EnFCM</th>
<th>FCM_S</th>
<th>FGFCM</th>
<th>FLICM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5117</td>
<td>0.6568</td>
<td>0.6569</td>
<td>0.6971</td>
<td><strong>0.8234</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5665</td>
<td>0.6490</td>
<td>0.6565</td>
<td>0.7012</td>
<td><strong>0.7980</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.5521</td>
<td>0.6321</td>
<td>0.6754</td>
<td>0.7080</td>
<td><strong>0.8010</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.5612</td>
<td>0.6540</td>
<td>0.6901</td>
<td>0.7122</td>
<td><strong>0.8011</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5211</td>
<td>0.6321</td>
<td>0.7010</td>
<td>0.7432</td>
<td><strong>0.8760</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above table indicates that the Fuzzy Local Information C Means is superior to the other algorithms under consideration. Thus we use the same for the segmentation module.
Figure 4. Fuzzy Local Information C Means Segmentation of normal image

Figure 5. Fuzzy Local Information C Means Segmentation of the Tumour Image
2.2. Feature Extraction

The regions of the image are then subject to feature extraction. The features such as the Hu moments [7], normalized inertia and location features [8] are extracted. Normalized inertia of order $\gamma$ is calculated for each region $R_j$ given as,

$$I_{(R_j, \gamma)} = \frac{\sum_{(x,y) \in R_j} \left[ (x-\hat{x})^2 + (y-\hat{y})^2 \right]^{\gamma/2}}{V(R_j)^{1+\gamma/2}}$$

Where $(\hat{x}, \hat{y})$ is the centroid of $R_j$, $V(R_j)$ is the volume of $R_j$. The normalized inertia is invariant to rotation and scaling.

2.3. Fuzzy Feature Representation

The feature vectors obtained above are very long since each region is subjected to feature extraction. Also the features are subjected to segmentation related uncertainties. To overcome these drawbacks we develop a fuzzy feature representation based on the Cauchy membership function. The segmented image can be viewed as a collection of regions $\{R_1, R_2, ..., R_n\}$. Equivalently in the feature space as $\{F_1, F_2, ..., F_n\}$.

Each region $R_j$ is represented by the centre $(\bar{f}_j)$ of the corresponding feature set $(F_j)$ with $(\bar{f}_j)$ defined as

$$(\bar{f}_j) = \frac{\sum_{f \in F_j} f}{V(F_j)}$$

Which is essentially the mean of all elements of $(F_j)$. Accordingly, region is represented by fuzzy feature $\bar{F}_j$ whose membership function,

$$\mu_{F_j}(\bar{f}) = \frac{1}{1+\left(\frac{\bar{f} - \bar{f}_j}{d_f}\right)^{\alpha}}$$

Where,

$$d_f = \frac{2}{C(C-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{C-1} \sum_{k=i+1}^{C} |\bar{f}_i - \bar{f}_k|$$

Is the average distance between the cluster centers. For an image with regions $R_j, 1 \leq j \leq C$, $F$ is called the fuzzy feature representation (signature) of the image where $F=\{\bar{F}_j : 1 \leq j \leq C\}$, $C$ is the total number of regions in segmented image.
2.4. Unified Feature Matching

The UFM scheme characterizes the resemblance between images by integrating the properties of all the regions in the images.

Let us assume we have 2 fuzzy feature sets \( A \) and \( B \) with Cauchy membership functions

\[
\mu_A(x) = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{x - \mu}{d_\alpha}\right)^\alpha}
\]

And

\[
\mu_B(x) = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{x - \nu}{d_\beta}\right)^\beta}
\]

The fuzzy similarity can be written as,

\[
S(A, B) = \frac{(d_\alpha + d_\beta)^\alpha}{(d_\alpha + d_\beta)^\alpha + |\mu - \nu|^\beta}
\]

Having the similarity measure, the similarity vector is constructed. For every \( A_i \in A \), we define the similarity measure for it and \( B \) as

\[
l_i^B = S(A_i, \bigcup_{j=1}^{c_B} B_j)
\]

Combining we get a vector,

\[
l^B = [l_1^B, l_2^B, ..., l_{c_B}^B]^T
\]

Similarly for every \( B_j \in B \), the similarity measure for it and \( A \) as,

\[
l_j^A = S(B_j, \bigcup_{i=1}^{c_A} A_i)
\]

Combining we get a vector,

\[
l^A = [l_1^A, l_2^A, ..., l_{c_A}^A]^T
\]

Thus, we define a similarity vector for \( A \) and \( B \) denoted by \( \mathbf{L}^{(A, B)} = \begin{bmatrix} l^B \\ l^A \end{bmatrix} \).

Also weights can be given to each feature to give more importance to that feature while retrieving the results. In our system we give importance to the location of the regions. This is highly useful in the detection of the type of brain tumour and its symptoms. The UFM scheme is more advantageous than the other features.
It can be analysed by the following graphs:

![Precision Graph of UFM and other feature vectors](image)

**3. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

In order to test the framework the MR image slices from the Internet Brain Segmentation Repository and the Brain Web are used. Around 400 image slices of resolution 256x256 are used.

The system is implemented using MATLAB on a 1.6Ghz Intel(R) Core(TM) 2Duo CPU with 3GB of RAM. The Matlab is a Matrix laboratory and it is a high-performance language for technical computing integrates computation, visualization, and programming in an easy-to-use environment.

We evaluate the system using the standard precision and recall measures. Precision is defined as the proportion of the relevant documents in a set of documents retrieved by the search.

\[
P = \frac{\text{No of Relevant Images}}{\text{No of Retrieved Images}}
\]

Recall is defined as the number of relevant documents retrieved as fraction of all relevant documents.

\[
R = \frac{\text{No of relevant images retrieved}}{\text{Total No. relevant images in Database}}
\]

We assume that we have 4 categories of tumour images and there are 10 images in each category accounting to a total of 40 images. The precision recall graph is shown in fig 7. Table 2 gives the precision and recall values for 4 different queries.
Figure 7. Precision Recall Graph

Table 2. Precision Recall Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image Query</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Recall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.714</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.851</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.571</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.428</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8. A test run displaying the first six results
4. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

Thus the system was implemented successfully in MATLAB. The database contains 250 normal and 150 tumour images. The resolution of these images is 256x256. A query by example scheme of retrieval was used. Since the location feature of each region is given more importance, the similar images with tumour on the same side as the test image are retrieved. The location of the tumour is very important in determining the type, treatment and symptoms of the tumour.

The system uses fuzzy logic at all stages in the framework. The Fuzzy Local Information C Means (FLICM) algorithm used for segmentation yielded an average correlation index of 0.80. This was higher than the rest of the segmentation algorithms considered. The combination of various features for matching yielded better results than individual features. The precision factor was as high as 80 percentage. The experimental results at each stage (from segmentation to feature matching) show that the fuzzy logic yields better results than the conventional techniques. The Unified Feature Matching technique helps us in giving more importance to specific features while including all the features.

The system can help or aid in the diagnosis if the profile information of the patient and the report of the MR image are included in the database along with the images. Also the fuzzy clustering algorithms may be improved by using the features of the MR images rather than just intensity alone. Other membership functions can be used in place of the Cauchy membership function for the feature matching. Weights can be varied for different features depending on the application. Our system gives importance to the location of the tumour. Another application may give importance to size of tumour.
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