

# ON OPTIMIZATION OF MANUFACTURING OF A CMOS POWER AMPLIFIER TO INCREASE DENSITY OF ELEMENTS WITH ACCOUNT MISS-MATCH INDUCED STRESS AND POROSITY OF MATERIALS

E.L. Pankratov

Nizhny Novgorod State University, 23 Gagarin avenue, Nizhny Novgorod, 603950, Russia

## **ABSTRACT**

*In this paper we introduce an approach to increase density of field-effect transistors framework a CMOS power amplifier. Framework the approach we consider manufacturing the inverter in heterostructure with specific configuration. Several required areas of the heterostructure should be doped by diffusion or ion implantation. After that dopant and radiation defects should be annealed framework optimized scheme. We also consider an approach to decrease value of mismatch-induced stress in the considered heterostructure. We introduce an analytical approach to analyze mass and heat transport in heterostructures during manufacturing of integrated circuits with account mismatch-induced stress.*

## **KEYWORDS**

*CMOS power amplifier; optimization of manufacturing; increasing density of elements*

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

In the present time several actual problems of the solid state electronics (such as increasing of performance, reliability and density of elements of integrated circuits: diodes, field-effect and bipolar transistors) are intensively solving [1-6]. To increase the performance of these devices it is attracted an interest determination of materials with higher values of charge carriers mobility [7-10]. One way to decrease dimensions of elements of integrated circuits is manufacturing them in thin film heterostructures [3-5,11]. In this case it is possible to use inhomogeneity of heterostructure and necessary optimization of doping of electronic materials [12] and development of epitaxial technology to improve these materials (including analysis of mismatch induced stress) [14-16]. An alternative approaches to increase dimensions of integrated circuits are using of laser and microwave types of annealing [17-19].

In this paper we introduce an approach to manufacture field-effect heterotransistors framework a CMOS power amplifier [20] to decrease their dimensions with increasing their density. We also consider possibility to decrease mismatch-induced stress in a heterostructures to decrease quantity of defects, generated due to the stress. To solve the aims we consider a heterostructure, which consist of a substrate and an epitaxial layer (see Fig. 1). We also consider a porous buffer layer between the substrate and the epitaxial layer. The epitaxial layer includes into itself several sections, which were manufactured by using other materials. These sections have been doped by

diffusion or ion implantation to manufacture the required types of conductivity ( $p$  or  $n$ ). These areas became sources, drains and gates (see Fig. 1). After this doping it is required optimized annealing of dopant and/or radiation defects.

## 2. METHOD OF SOLUTION

To solve our aim we determine and analyzed spatio-temporal distribution of concentration of dopant in the considered heterostructure. We determine the distribution by solving the second Fick's law in the following form [1,21-24]

$$\frac{\partial C(x, y, z, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ D \frac{\partial C(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ D \frac{\partial C(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ D \frac{\partial C(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} \right] +$$




Fig. 1a. Structure of the CMOS power amplifier [20]




Fig. 1b. Heterostructure with a substrate, epitaxial layers and buffer layer (view from side)

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{D_s}{kT} \nabla_s \mu_1(x, y, z, t) \int_0^{L_z} C(x, y, W, t) dW \right] + \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad + \Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \frac{D_s}{kT} \nabla_s \mu_1(x, y, z, t) \int_0^{L_z} C(x, y, W, t) dW \right] + (1) \\
& + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{D_{cs}}{\bar{V} kT} \frac{\partial \mu_2(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \frac{D_{cs}}{\bar{V} kT} \frac{\partial \mu_2(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \frac{D_{cs}}{\bar{V} kT} \frac{\partial \mu_2(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

The first, the second and the third terms of right side of the equation describing thermal diffusion of dopant. The fourth and the fifth terms of the side correspond to dopant diffusion under influence of mismatch-induced stress. The sixth, the seventh and the eighth terms of right side of the Eq.(1) correspond to dopant diffusion under influence of modification of porosity of materials. The Eq.(1) should be complemented by boundary and initial conditions. Boundary (correspond to absent of dopant flow through external boundary of heterostructure) and initial conditions for the Eq.(1) could be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\left. \frac{\partial C(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} \right|_{x=0} = 0, \quad \left. \frac{\partial C(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} \right|_{x=L_x} = 0, \quad \left. \frac{\partial C(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} \right|_{y=0} = 0, \quad C(x, y, z, 0) = f_C(x, y, z), \\
\left. \frac{\partial C(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} \right|_{y=L_y} = 0, \quad \left. \frac{\partial C(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} \right|_{z=0} = 0, \quad \left. \frac{\partial C(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} \right|_{z=L_z} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Here  $C(x, y, z, t)$  is the spatio-temporal distribution of concentration of dopant;  $\Omega$  is the atomic volume of dopant;  $\nabla_s$  is the symbol of surficial gradient;  $\int_0^{L_z} C(x, y, z, t) dz$  is the surficial concentration of dopant on interface between layers of heterostructure (in this situation we assume, that Z-axis is perpendicular to interface between layers of heterostructure);  $\mu_1(x, y, z, t)$  and  $\mu_2(x, y, z, t)$  are the chemical potential due to the presence of mismatch-induced stress and porosity of material;  $D$  and  $D_s$  are the coefficients of volumetric and surficial diffusions. Values of dopant diffusions coefficients depends on properties of materials of heterostructure, speed of heating and cooling of materials during annealing and spatio-temporal distribution of concentration of dopant. Dependences of dopant diffusions coefficients on parameters could be approximated by the following relations [25-27]

$$D_c = D_L(x, y, z, T) \left[ 1 + \xi \frac{C^\gamma(x, y, z, t)}{P^\gamma(x, y, z, T)} \right] \left[ 1 + \zeta_1 \frac{V(x, y, z, t)}{V^*} + \zeta_2 \frac{V^2(x, y, z, t)}{(V^*)^2} \right],$$

$$D_s = D_{sL}(x, y, z, T) \left[ 1 + \xi_s \frac{C^\gamma(x, y, z, t)}{P^\gamma(x, y, z, T)} \right] \left[ 1 + \zeta_1 \frac{V(x, y, z, t)}{V^*} + \zeta_2 \frac{V^2(x, y, z, t)}{(V^*)^2} \right]. \quad (2)$$

Here  $D_L(x, y, z, T)$  and  $D_{LS}(x, y, z, T)$  are the spatial (due to accounting all layers of heterostructure) and temperature (due to Arrhenius law) dependences of dopant diffusion coefficients;  $T$  is the temperature of annealing;  $P(x, y, z, T)$  is the limit of solubility of dopant; parameter  $\gamma$  depends on properties of materials and could be integer in the following interval  $\gamma \in [1, 3]$  [25];  $V(x, y, z, t)$  is the spatio-temporal distribution of concentration of radiation vacancies;  $V^*$  is the equilibrium distribution of vacancies. Concentrational dependence of dopant diffusion coefficient has been described in details in [25]. Spatio-temporal distributions of concentration of point radiation defects have been determined by solving the following system of equations [21-24, 26, 27]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial I(x, y, z, t)}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ D_I(x, y, z, T) \frac{\partial I(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ D_I(x, y, z, T) \frac{\partial I(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} \right] + \\ &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ D_I(x, y, z, T) \frac{\partial I(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} \right] - k_{I,I}(x, y, z, T) I^2(x, y, z, t) - k_{I,V}(x, y, z, T) \times \\ &\times I(x, y, z, t) V(x, y, z, t) + \Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{D_{IS}}{kT} \nabla_s \mu(x, y, z, t) \int_0^{L_z} I(x, y, W, t) dW \right] + \\ &+ \Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \frac{D_{IS}}{kT} \nabla_s \mu(x, y, z, t) \int_0^{L_z} I(x, y, W, t) dW \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{D_{IS}}{V kT} \frac{\partial \mu_2(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} \right] + \\ &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \frac{D_{IS}}{V kT} \frac{\partial \mu_2(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \frac{D_{IS}}{V kT} \frac{\partial \mu_2(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} \right] \quad (3) \\ \frac{\partial V(x, y, z, t)}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ D_V(x, y, z, T) \frac{\partial V(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ D_V(x, y, z, T) \frac{\partial V(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} \right] + \\ &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ D_V(x, y, z, T) \frac{\partial V(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} \right] - k_{V,V}(x, y, z, T) V^2(x, y, z, t) - k_{I,V}(x, y, z, T) \times \\ &\times I(x, y, z, t) V(x, y, z, t) + \Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{D_{VS}}{kT} \nabla_s \mu(x, y, z, t) \int_0^{L_z} V(x, y, W, t) dW \right] + \\ &+ \Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \frac{D_{VS}}{kT} \nabla_s \mu(x, y, z, t) \int_0^{L_z} V(x, y, W, t) dW \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{D_{VS}}{V kT} \frac{\partial \mu_2(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} \right] + \\ &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \frac{D_{VS}}{V kT} \frac{\partial \mu_2(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \frac{D_{VS}}{V kT} \frac{\partial \mu_2(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} \right] \end{aligned}$$

with boundary and initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial I(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} \right|_{x=0} &= 0, \quad \left. \frac{\partial I(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} \right|_{x=L_x} &= 0, \quad \left. \frac{\partial I(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} \right|_{y=0} &= 0, \quad \left. \frac{\partial I(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} \right|_{y=L_y} &= 0, \\ \left. \frac{\partial I(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} \right|_{z=0} &= 0, \quad \left. \frac{\partial I(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} \right|_{z=L_z} &= 0, \quad \left. \frac{\partial V(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} \right|_{x=0} &= 0, \quad \left. \frac{\partial V(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} \right|_{x=L_x} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial V(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} \right|_{y=0} = 0, \left. \frac{\partial V(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} \right|_{y=L_y} = 0, \left. \frac{\partial V(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} \right|_{z=0} = 0, \left. \frac{\partial V(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} \right|_{z=L_z} = 0,$$

$$V(x_1 + V_n t, y_1 + V_n t, z_1 + V_n t, t) = V_\infty \left( 1 + \frac{2\ell\omega}{kT\sqrt{x_1^2 + y_1^2 + z_1^2}} \right), I(x, y, z, 0) = f_I(x, y, z), V(x, y, z, 0) = f_V(x, y, z). \quad (4)$$

Here  $I(x, y, z, t)$  is the spatio-temporal distribution of concentration of radiation interstitials;  $I^*$  is the equilibrium distribution of interstitials;  $D_I(x, y, z, T)$ ,  $D_V(x, y, z, T)$ ,  $D_{IS}(x, y, z, T)$ ,  $D_{VS}(x, y, z, T)$  are the coefficients of volumetric and surficial diffusions of interstitials and vacancies, respectively; terms  $V^2(x, y, z, t)$  and  $I^2(x, y, z, t)$  correspond to generation of divacancies and diinterstitials, respectively (see, for example, [27] and appropriate references in this book); other terms of Eqs.(3) have the same aim as in Eq.(1);  $k_{I,V}(x, y, z, T)$ ,  $k_{I,I}(x, y, z, T)$  and  $k_{V,V}(x, y, z, T)$  are the parameters of recombination of point radiation defects and generation of their complexes;  $k$  is the Boltzmann constant;  $\omega = a^3$ ,  $a$  is the interatomic distance;  $\ell$  is the specific surface energy. To account porosity of buffer layers we assume, that porous are approximately cylindrical with average values  $r = \sqrt{x_1^2 + y_1^2}$  and  $z_1$  before annealing [24]. With time small pores decomposing on vacancies. The vacancies absorbing by larger pores [28]. With time large pores became larger due to absorbing the vacancies and became more spherical [28]. Distribution of concentration of vacancies in heterostructure, existing due to porosity, could be determined by summing on all pores, i.e.

$$V(x, y, z, t) = \sum_{i=0}^l \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n V_p(x + i\alpha, y + j\beta, z + k\chi, t), \quad R = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}.$$

Here  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\chi$  are the average distances between centers of pores in directions  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$ ;  $l$ ,  $m$  and  $n$  are the quantity of pores in appropriate directions.

Spatio-temporal distributions of divacancies  $\Phi_V(x, y, z, t)$  and diinterstitials  $\Phi_I(x, y, z, t)$  could be determined by solving the following system of equations [26,27]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \Phi_I(x, y, z, t)}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ D_{\Phi_I}(x, y, z, T) \frac{\partial \Phi_I(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ D_{\Phi_I}(x, y, z, T) \frac{\partial \Phi_I(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} \right] + \\ &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ D_{\Phi_I}(x, y, z, T) \frac{\partial \Phi_I(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} \right] + \Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{D_{\Phi_{IS}}}{kT} \nabla_s \mu_1(x, y, z, t) \int_0^{L_z} \Phi_I(x, y, W, t) dW \right] + \quad (5) \\ &+ \Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \frac{D_{\Phi_{IS}}}{kT} \nabla_s \mu_1(x, y, z, t) \int_0^{L_z} \Phi_I(x, y, W, t) dW \right] + k_{I,I}(x, y, z, T) I^2(x, y, z, t) + k_I(x, y, z, T) \times \\ &\times I(x, y, z, t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{D_{\Phi_{IS}}}{\bar{V} kT} \frac{\partial \mu_2(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \frac{D_{\Phi_{IS}}}{\bar{V} kT} \frac{\partial \mu_2(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \frac{D_{\Phi_{IS}}}{\bar{V} kT} \frac{\partial \mu_2(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} \right] \\ \frac{\partial \Phi_V(x, y, z, t)}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ D_{\Phi_V}(x, y, z, T) \frac{\partial \Phi_V(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ D_{\Phi_V}(x, y, z, T) \frac{\partial \Phi_V(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} \right] + \\ &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ D_{\Phi_V}(x, y, z, T) \frac{\partial \Phi_V(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} \right] + \Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{D_{\Phi_{VS}}}{kT} \nabla_s \mu_1(x, y, z, t) \int_0^{L_z} \Phi_V(x, y, W, t) dW \right] + \\ &+ \Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \frac{D_{\Phi_{VS}}}{kT} \nabla_s \mu_1(x, y, z, t) \int_0^{L_z} \Phi_V(x, y, W, t) dW \right] + k_{V,V}(x, y, z, T) V^2(x, y, z, t) + k_V(x, y, z, T) \times \\ &\times V(x, y, z, t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{D_{\Phi_{VS}}}{\bar{V} kT} \frac{\partial \mu_2(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \frac{D_{\Phi_{VS}}}{\bar{V} kT} \frac{\partial \mu_2(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \frac{D_{\Phi_{VS}}}{\bar{V} kT} \frac{\partial \mu_2(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} \right] \end{aligned}$$

with boundary and initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left. \frac{\partial \Phi_i(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} \right|_{x=0} = 0, \quad \left. \frac{\partial I(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} \right|_{x=L_x} = 0, \quad \left. \frac{\partial I(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} \right|_{y=0} = 0, \quad \left. \frac{\partial I(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} \right|_{y=L_y} = 0, \\
 \left. \frac{\partial \Phi_i(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} \right|_{z=0} = 0, \quad \left. \frac{\partial I(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} \right|_{z=L_z} = 0, \quad \left. \frac{\partial \Phi_v(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} \right|_{x=0} = 0, \quad \left. \frac{\partial V(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} \right|_{x=L_x} = 0, \\
 \left. \frac{\partial V(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} \right|_{y=0} = 0, \quad \left. \frac{\partial V(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} \right|_{y=L_y} = 0, \quad \left. \frac{\partial V(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} \right|_{z=0} = 0, \quad \left. \frac{\partial \Phi_v(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} \right|_{z=L_z} = 0, \\
 \Phi_i(x, y, z, 0) = f_{\Phi i}(x, y, z), \quad \Phi_v(x, y, z, 0) = f_{\Phi v}(x, y, z).
 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Here  $D_{\Phi i}(x, y, z, T)$ ,  $D_{\Phi v}(x, y, z, T)$ ,  $D_{\Phi IS}(x, y, z, T)$  and  $D_{\Phi VS}(x, y, z, T)$  are the coefficients of volumetric and surficial diffusions of complexes of radiation defects;  $k_I(x, y, z, T)$  and  $k_V(x, y, z, T)$  are the parameters of decay of complexes of radiation defects; other terms of Eqs.(5) have the same aim as in Eq.(1).

Chemical potential  $\mu_1$  in Eq.(1) could be determine by the following relation [21]

$$\mu_1 = E(z)\Omega\sigma_{ij}[u_{ij}(x, y, z, t) + u_{ji}(x, y, z, t)]/2, \tag{7}$$

where  $E(z)$  is the Young modulus,  $\sigma_{ij}$  is the stress tensor;  $u_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i}\right)$  is the deformation tensor;  $u_i, u_j$  are the components  $u_x(x, y, z, t)$ ,  $u_y(x, y, z, t)$  and  $u_z(x, y, z, t)$  of the displacement vector  $\vec{u}(x, y, z, t)$ ;  $x_i, x_j$  are the coordinate  $x, y, z$ . The Eq. (3) could be transform to the following form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu(x, y, z, t) = & \left[ \frac{\partial u_i(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x_i} \right] \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial u_i(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x_i} \right] - \right. \\
 & \left. - \varepsilon_0 \delta_{ij} + \frac{\sigma(z)\delta_{ij}}{1-2\sigma(z)} \left[ \frac{\partial u_k(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x_k} - 3\varepsilon_0 \right] - K(z)\beta(z)[T(x, y, z, t) - T_0] \delta_{ij} \right\} \frac{\Omega}{2} E(z),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma$  is Poisson coefficient;  $\varepsilon_0 = (a_s - a_{EL})/a_{EL}$  is the mismatch parameter;  $a_s, a_{EL}$  are lattice distances of the substrate and the epitaxial layer;  $K$  is the modulus of uniform compression;  $\beta$  is the coefficient of thermal expansion;  $T_r$  is the equilibrium temperature, which coincide (for our case) with room temperature. Components of displacement vector could be obtained by solution of the following equations [22]

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho(z) \frac{\partial^2 u_x(x, y, z, t)}{\partial t^2} &= \frac{\partial \sigma_{xx}(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{xy}(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{xz}(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} \\
 \rho(z) \frac{\partial^2 u_y(x, y, z, t)}{\partial t^2} &= \frac{\partial \sigma_{yx}(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{yy}(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{yz}(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} \\
 \rho(z) \frac{\partial^2 u_z(x, y, z, t)}{\partial t^2} &= \frac{\partial \sigma_{zx}(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{zy}(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{zz}(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z},
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma_{ij} = \frac{E(z)}{2[1+\sigma(z)]} \left[ \frac{\partial u_i(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\delta_{ij}}{3} \frac{\partial u_k(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x_k} \right] + \delta_{ij} \frac{\partial u_k(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x_k} \times$   
 $\times K(z) - \beta(z)K(z)[T(x, y, z, t) - T_r]$ ,  $\rho(z)$  is the density of materials of heterostructure,  $\delta_{ij}$  is the Kronecker symbol. With account the relation for  $\sigma_{ij}$  last system of equation could be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho(z) \frac{\partial^2 u_x(x, y, z, t)}{\partial t^2} &= \left\{ K(z) + \frac{5E(z)}{6[1+\sigma(z)]} \right\} \frac{\partial^2 u_x(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x^2} + \left\{ K(z) - \frac{E(z)}{3[1+\sigma(z)]} \right\} \frac{\partial^2 u_y(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x \partial y} + \\
 &+ \frac{E(z)}{2[1+\sigma(z)]} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 u_y(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u_z(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z^2} \right] + \left[ K(z) + \frac{E(z)}{3[1+\sigma(z)]} \right] \frac{\partial^2 u_z(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x \partial z} - \\
 &\quad - K(z) \beta(z) \frac{\partial T(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} \\
 \rho(z) \frac{\partial^2 u_y(x, y, z, t)}{\partial t^2} &= \frac{E(z)}{2[1+\sigma(z)]} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 u_y(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u_x(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x \partial y} \right] - K(z) \beta(z) \frac{\partial T(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} + \\
 &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left\{ \frac{E(z)}{2[1+\sigma(z)]} \left[ \frac{\partial u_y(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial u_z(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} \right] \right\} + \frac{\partial^2 u_y(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y^2} \left\{ \frac{5E(z)}{12[1+\sigma(z)]} + K(z) \right\} + \\
 &\quad + \left\{ K(z) - \frac{E(z)}{6[1+\sigma(z)]} \right\} \frac{\partial^2 u_y(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y \partial z} + K(z) \frac{\partial^2 u_y(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x \partial y} \quad (8) \\
 \rho(z) \frac{\partial^2 u_z(x, y, z, t)}{\partial t^2} &= \frac{E(z)}{2[1+\sigma(z)]} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 u_z(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u_x(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u_x(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x \partial z} \frac{\partial^2 u_y(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y \partial z} \right] + \\
 &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left\{ K(z) \left[ \frac{\partial u_x(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u_y(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u_x(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} \right] \right\} - K(z) \beta(z) \frac{\partial T(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} + \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{6} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left\{ \frac{E(z)}{1+\sigma(z)} \left[ 6 \frac{\partial u_z(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial u_x(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u_y(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial u_z(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} \right] \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Conditions for the system of Eq. (8) could be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial \bar{u}(0, y, z, t)}{\partial x} = 0; \quad \frac{\partial \bar{u}(L_x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} = 0; \quad \frac{\partial \bar{u}(x, 0, z, t)}{\partial y} = 0; \quad \frac{\partial \bar{u}(x, L_y, z, t)}{\partial y} = 0; \\
 \frac{\partial \bar{u}(x, y, 0, t)}{\partial z} = 0; \quad \frac{\partial \bar{u}(x, y, L_z, t)}{\partial z} = 0; \quad \bar{u}(x, y, z, 0) = \bar{u}_0; \quad \bar{u}(x, y, z, \infty) = \bar{u}_0.
 \end{aligned}$$

We determine spatio-temporal distributions of concentrations of dopant and radiation defects by solving the Eqs.(1), (3) and (5) framework standard method of averaging of function corrections [29]. Previously we transform the Eqs.(1), (3) and (5) to the following form with account initial distributions of the considered concentrations

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial C(x, y, z, t)}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ D \frac{\partial C(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ D \frac{\partial C(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ D \frac{\partial C(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} \right] + f_c(x, y, z) \delta(t) + \\
 &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{D_{cs}}{\bar{V} k T} \frac{\partial \mu_2(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \frac{D_{cs}}{\bar{V} k T} \frac{\partial \mu_2(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \frac{D_{cs}}{\bar{V} k T} \frac{\partial \mu_2(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} \right] + (1a) \\
 &+ \Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{D_s}{k T} \nabla_s \mu(x, y, z, t) \int_0^{L_x} C(x, y, W, t) dW \right] + \Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \frac{D_s}{k T} \nabla_s \mu(x, y, z, t) \int_0^{L_y} C(x, y, W, t) dW \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial I(x, y, z, t)}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ D_I(x, y, z, T) \frac{\partial I(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ D_I(x, y, z, T) \frac{\partial I(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} \right] + \\
 &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ D_I(x, y, z, T) \frac{\partial I(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} \right] + \Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{D_{IS}}{kT} \nabla_s \mu_1(x, y, z, t) \int_0^{L_z} I(x, y, W, t) dW \right] + \\
 + \Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \frac{D_{IS}}{kT} \nabla_s \mu_1(x, y, z, t) \int_0^{L_z} I(x, y, W, t) dW \right] &+ f_I(x, y, z) \delta(t) - k_{I,I}(x, y, z, T) I^2(x, y, z, t) - \\
 &- k_{I,V}(x, y, z, T) I(x, y, z, t) V(x, y, z, t)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial V(x, y, z, t)}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ D_V(x, y, z, T) \frac{\partial V(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ D_V(x, y, z, T) \frac{\partial V(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} \right] + \\
 &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ D_V(x, y, z, T) \frac{\partial V(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} \right] + \Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{D_{VS}}{kT} \nabla_s \mu_1(x, y, z, t) \int_0^{L_z} V(x, y, W, t) dW \right] + \\
 + \Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \frac{D_{VS}}{kT} \nabla_s \mu_1(x, y, z, t) \int_0^{L_z} V(x, y, W, t) dW \right] &+ f_V(x, y, z) \delta(t) - k_{I,I}(x, y, z, T) I^2(x, y, z, t) - \\
 &- k_{I,V}(x, y, z, T) I(x, y, z, t) V(x, y, z, t)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial \Phi_I(x, y, z, t)}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ D_{\Phi_I}(x, y, z, T) \frac{\partial \Phi_I(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ D_{\Phi_I}(x, y, z, T) \frac{\partial \Phi_I(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} \right] + \\
 &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ D_{\Phi_I}(x, y, z, T) \frac{\partial \Phi_I(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} \right] + \Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{D_{\Phi_{IS}}}{kT} \nabla_s \mu_1(x, y, z, t) \int_0^{L_z} \Phi_I(x, y, W, t) dW \right] + \\
 &+ \Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \frac{D_{\Phi_{IS}}}{kT} \nabla_s \mu_1(x, y, z, t) \int_0^{L_z} \Phi_I(x, y, W, t) dW \right] + k_I(x, y, z, T) I(x, y, z, t) + \\
 + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{D_{\Phi_{IS}}}{\bar{V} kT} \frac{\partial \mu_2(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} \right] &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \frac{D_{\Phi_{IS}}}{\bar{V} kT} \frac{\partial \mu_2(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \frac{D_{\Phi_{IS}}}{\bar{V} kT} \frac{\partial \mu_2(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} \right] + \\
 &+ k_{I,I}(x, y, z, T) I^2(x, y, z, t) + f_{\Phi_I}(x, y, z) \delta(t)
 \end{aligned} \tag{5a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial \Phi_V(x, y, z, t)}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ D_{\Phi_V}(x, y, z, T) \frac{\partial \Phi_V(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ D_{\Phi_V}(x, y, z, T) \frac{\partial \Phi_V(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} \right] + \\
 &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ D_{\Phi_V}(x, y, z, T) \frac{\partial \Phi_V(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} \right] + \Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{D_{\Phi_{VS}}}{kT} \nabla_s \mu_1(x, y, z, t) \int_0^{L_z} \Phi_V(x, y, W, t) dW \right] + \\
 &+ \Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \frac{D_{\Phi_{VS}}}{kT} \nabla_s \mu_1(x, y, z, t) \int_0^{L_z} \Phi_V(x, y, W, t) dW \right] + k_I(x, y, z, T) I(x, y, z, t) + \\
 + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{D_{\Phi_{VS}}}{\bar{V} kT} \frac{\partial \mu_2(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} \right] &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \frac{D_{\Phi_{VS}}}{\bar{V} kT} \frac{\partial \mu_2(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \frac{D_{\Phi_{VS}}}{\bar{V} kT} \frac{\partial \mu_2(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} \right] + \\
 &+ k_{V,V}(x, y, z, T) V^2(x, y, z, t) + f_{\Phi_V}(x, y, z) \delta(t).
 \end{aligned}$$



Farther Eqs. (1a), (3a) and (5a) have been solved by method of averaging of function corrections [29] by using the second-order approximation of all considered approximations. Farther we determine solutions of Eqs.(8), i.e. components of displacement vector. To determine the solutions we used the method of averaging of function corrections again framework the second-order approximation. The approximation is usually enough good approximation to make qualitative analysis and to obtain some quantitative results. All obtained results have been checked by comparison with results of numerical simulations.

### 3. DISCUSSION

In this section we analyzed dynamics of redistributions of dopant and radiation defects during annealing and under influence of mismatch-induced stress and modification of porosity. Typical distributions of concentrations of dopant in heterostructures are presented on Figs. 2 and 3 for diffusion and ion types of doping, respectively. The-se distributions have been calculated for the case, when value of dopant diffusion coefficient in doped area is larger, than in nearest areas. The figures show, that inhomogeneity of heterostructure gives us possibility to increase compactness of concentrations of dopants and at the same time to increase homogeneity of dopant distribution in doped part of epitaxial layer. However framework this approach of manufacturing of bipolar transistor it is necessary to optimize annealing of dopant and/or radiation defects. Reason of this optimization is following. If annealing time is small, the dopant did not achieve any interfaces between materials of heterostructure. In this situation one cannot find any modifications of distribution of concentration of dopant. If annealing time is large, distribution of concentration of dopant is too homogenous. We optimize annealing time framework recently introduces approach [30-38]. Framework this criterion we approximate real distribution of concentration of dopant by step-wise function (see Figs. 4 and 5). Farther we determine optimal values of annealing time by minimization of the following mean-squared error




Fig.2. Distributions of concentration of infused dopant in heterostructure from Fig. 1 in direction, which is perpendicular to interface between epitaxial layer substrate. Increasing of number of curve corresponds to increasing of difference between values of dopant diffusion coefficient in layers of heterostructure under condition, when value of dopant diffusion coefficient in epitaxial layer is larger, than value of dopant diffusion coefficient in substrate




Fig.3. Distributions of concentration of implanted dopant in heterostructure from Fig. 1 in direction, which is perpendicular to interface between epitaxial layer substrate. Curves 1 and 3 corresponds to annealing time  $\Theta = 0.0048(L_x^2 + L_y^2 + L_z^2)/D_0$ . Curves 2 and 4 corresponds to annealing time  $\Theta = 0.0057(L_x^2 + L_y^2 + L_z^2)/D_0$ . Curves 1 and 2 corresponds to homogenous sample. Curves 3 and 4 corresponds to heterostructure under condition, when value of dopant diffusion coefficient in epitaxial layer is larger, than value of dopant diffusion coefficient in substrate

$$U = \frac{1}{L_x L_y L_z} \int_0^{L_x} \int_0^{L_y} \int_0^{L_z} [C(x, y, z, \Theta) - \psi(x, y, z)] dz dy dx, \quad (15)$$

where  $\psi(x,y,z)$  is the approximation function. Dependences of optimal values of annealing time on parameters are presented on Figs. 6 and 7 for diffusion and ion types of doping, respectively. It should be noted, that it is necessary to anneal radiation defects after ion implantation. One could find spreading of concentration of distribution of dopant during this annealing. In the ideal case distribution of dopant achieves appropriate interfaces between materials of heterostructure during annealing of radiation defects. If dopant did not achieves any interfaces during annealing of radiation defects, it is practicably to additionally anneal the dopant. In this situation optimal value of additional annealing time of implanted dopant is smaller, than annealing time of infused dopant.




Fig. 4. Spatial distributions of dopant in heterostructure after dopant infusion. Curve 1 is idealized distribution of dopant. Curves 2-4 are real distributions of dopant for different values of annealing time. Increasing of number of curve corresponds to increasing of annealing time




Fig. 5. Spatial distributions of dopant in heterostructure after ion implantation. Curve 1 is idealized distribution of dopant. Curves 2-4 are real distributions of dopant for different values of annealing time. Increasing of number of curve corresponds to increasing of annealing time

Farther we analyzed influence of relaxation of mechanical stress on distribution of dopant in doped areas of heterostructure. Under following condition  $\varepsilon_0 < 0$  one can find compression of distribution of concentration of dopant near interface between materials of heterostructure. Contrary (at  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ ) one can find spreading of distribution of concentration of dopant in this area. This changing of distribution of concentration of dopant could be at least partially compensated by using laser annealing [38]. This type of annealing gives us possibility to accelerate diffusion of dopant and another processes in annealed area due to inhomogenous distribution of temperature and Arrhenius law. Accounting relaxation of mismatch-induced stress in heterostructure could leads to changing of optimal values of annealing time. At the same time modification of porosity gives us possibility to decrease value of mechanical stress. On the one hand mismatch-induced stress changing of optimal values of annealing time. At the same time modification of porosity gives us possibility to decrease value of mechanical stress. On the one hand mismatch-induced stress could be used to increase density of elements of integrated circuits. On the other hand could leads to generation dislocations of the discrepancy. Figs. 8 and 9 show distributions of concentration of vacancies in porous materials and component of displacement vector, which is perpendicular to interface between layers of heterostructure.




Fig.6. Dependences of dimensionless optimal annealing time for doping by diffusion, which have been obtained by minimization of mean-squared error, on several parameters. Curve 1 is the dependence of dimensionless optimal annealing time on the relation  $a/L$  and  $\xi = \gamma = 0$  for equal to each other values of dopant diffusion coefficient in all parts of heterostructure. Curve 2 is the dependence of dimensionless optimal annealing time on value of parameter  $\varepsilon$  for  $a/L=1/2$  and  $\xi = \gamma = 0$ . Curve 3 is the dependence of dimensionless optimal annealing time on value of parameter  $\xi$  for  $a/L=1/2$  and  $\varepsilon = \gamma = 0$ . Curve 4 is the dependence of dimensionless optimal annealing time on value of parameter  $\gamma$  for  $a/L=1/2$  and  $\varepsilon = \xi = 0$




Fig.7. Dependences of dimensionless optimal annealing time for doping by ion implantation, which have been obtained by minimization of mean-squared error, on several parameters. Curve 1 is the dependence of dimensionless optimal annealing time on the relation  $a/L$  and  $\xi = \gamma = 0$  for equal to each other values of dopant diffusion coefficient in all parts of heterostructure. Curve 2 is the dependence of dimensionless optimal annealing time on value of parameter  $\varepsilon$  for  $a/L=1/2$  and  $\xi = \gamma = 0$ . Curve 3 is the dependence of dimensionless optimal annealing time on value of parameter  $\xi$  for  $a/L=1/2$  and  $\varepsilon = \gamma = 0$ . Curve 4 is the dependence of dimensionless optimal annealing time on value of parameter  $\gamma$  for  $a/L=1/2$  and  $\varepsilon = \xi = 0$




Fig. 8. Normalized dependences of component  $u_z$  of displacement vector on coordinate  $z$  for nonporous (curve 1) and porous (curve 2) epitaxial layers




Fig. 9. Normalized dependences of vacancy concentrations on coordinate  $z$  in unstressed (curve 1) and stressed (curve 2) epitaxial layers

#### 4. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we model redistribution of infused and implanted dopants with account relaxation mismatch-induced stress during manufacturing field-effect heterotransistors framework a CMOS power amplifier. We formulate recommendations for optimization of annealing to decrease dimensions of transistors and to increase their density. We formulate recommendations to decrease mismatch-induced stress. Analytical approach to model diffusion and ion types of doping with account concurrent changing of parameters in space and time has been introduced. At the same time the approach gives us possibility to take into account nonlinearity of considered processes.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] V.I. Lachin, N.S. Savelov. Electronics. Rostov-on-Don: Phoenix, 2001.
- [2] A. Polishcuk. Programmable analog integrated circuits Anadigm: whole spectrum of analog electronics on a single chip. First meeting.. Modern Electronics. Issue 12. P. 8-11 (2004).
- [3] G. Volovich. Modern chips UM3Ch class D manufactured by firm MPS. Modern Electronics. Issue 2. P. 10-17 (2006).
- [4] A. Kerentsev, V. Lanin, Constructive-technological features of MOSFET-transistors. Power Electronics. Issue 1. P. 34 (2008).
- [5] A.O. Ageev, A.E. Belyaev, N.S. Boltovets, V.N. Ivanov, R.V. Konakova, Ya.Ya. Kudrik, P.M. Litvin, V.V. Milenin, A.V. Sachenko. Au-TiBx-n-6H-SiC Schottky barrier diodes: the features of current flow in rectifying and nonrectifying contacts. Semiconductors. Vol. 43 (7). P. 897-903 (2009).
- [6] Jung-Hui Tsai, Shao-Yen Chiu, Wen-Shiung Lour, Der-Feng Guo. High-performance InGaP/GaAs pnp  $\delta$ -doped heterojunction bipolar transistor. Semiconductors. Vol. 43 (7). P. 971-974 (2009).
- [7] O.V. Alexandrov, A.O. Zakhar'in, N.A. Sobolev, E.I. Shek, M.M. Makoviychuk, E.O. Parshin. Formation of donor centers upon annealing of dysprosium- and holmium-implanted silicon. Semiconductors. Vol. 32 (9). P. 1029-1032 (1998).
- [8] I.B. Ermolovich, V.V. Milenin, R.A. Red'ko, S.M. Red'ko. Specific features of recombination processes in CdTe films produced in different temperature conditions of growth and subsequent annealing. Semiconductors. Vol. 43 (8). P. 1016-1020 (2009).

- [9] P. Sinsermsuksakul, K. Hartman, S.B. Kim, J. Heo, L. Sun, H.H. Park, R. Chakraborty, T. Buonassisi, R.G. Gordon. Enhancing the efficiency of SnS solar cells via band-offset engineering with a zinc oxy-sulfide buffer layer. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* Vol. 102 (5). P. 053901-053905 (2013).
- [10] J.G. Reynolds, C.L. Reynolds, Jr.A. Mohanta, J.F. Muth, J.E. Rowe, H.O. Everitt, D.E. Aspnes. Shallow acceptor complexes in p-type ZnO. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* Vol. 102 (15). P. 152114-152118 (2013).
- [11] N.I. Volokobinskaya, I.N. Komarov, T.V. Matyukhina, V.I. Reshetnikov, A.A. Rush, I.V. Falina, A.S. Yastrebov. A study of technological processes in the production of high-power high-voltage bipolar transistors incorporating an array of inclusions in the collector region. *Semiconductors.* Vol. 35 (8). P. 1013-1017 (2001).
- [12] E.L. Pankratov, E.A. Bulaeva. Doping of materials during manufacture p-n-junctions and bipolar transistors. Analytical approaches to model technological approaches and ways of optimization of distributions of dopants. *Reviews in Theoretical Science.* Vol. 1 (1). P. 58-82 (2013).
- [13] A.E. Boukili. Modeling and analysis of the effects of the fabrication temperatures on thermal-induced stress and speed performance of nano pMOS transistors. *The international journal for computation and mathematics in electrical and electronic engineering.* Vol. 36 (1). P. 78-89 (2017).
- [14] S.A. Kukushkin, A.V. Osipov, A.I. Romanychev. Epitaxial growth of zinc oxide by the method of atomic layer deposition on SiC/Si substrates. *Physics of the Solid State.* Vol. 58 (7). P. 1448-1452 (2016).
- [15] E.M. Trukhanov, A.V. Kolesnikov, I. D. Loshkarev. Long-range stresses generated by misfit dislocations in epitaxial films. *Russian Microelectronics.* Vol. 44 (8). P. 552-558 (2015).
- [16] E.L. Pankratov, E.A. Bulaeva. On optimization of regimes of epitaxy from gas phase. some analytical approaches to model physical processes in reactors for epitaxy from gas phase during growth films. *Reviews in Theoretical Science.* Vol. 3 (4). P. 365-398 (2015).
- [17] K.K. Ong, K.L. Pey, P.S. Lee, A.T.S. Wee, X.C. Wang, Y.F. Chong. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* Dopant distribution in the recrystallization transient at the maximum melt depth induced by laser annealing. Vol. 89 (17), 172111-172114 (2006).
- [18] H.T. Wang, L.S. Tan, E. F. Chor. Pulsed laser annealing of Be-implanted GaN. *J. Appl. Phys.* Vol. 98 (9), 094901-094905 (2006).
- [19] Yu.V. Bykov, A.G. Yermeev, N.A. Zharova, I.V. Plotnikov, K.I. Rybakov, M.N. Drozdov, Yu.N. Drozdov, V.D. Skupov. Diffusion processes in semiconductor structures during microwave annealing. *Radiophysics and Quantum Electronics.* Vol. 43 (3). P. 836-843 (2003).
- [20] Ch. Zhou, L. Zhang, Y. Wang, Zh. Yu, H. Qian. A 4-bit CMOS phase shifter for millimeter-wave phased arrays. *Analog. Integr. Circ. Sig. Process.* Vol. 79. P. 461-468 (2014).
- [21] Y.W. Zhang, A.F. Bower. Numerical simulations of island formation in a coherent strained epitaxial thin film system. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids.* Vol. 47 (11). P. 2273-2297 (1999).
- [22] L.D. Landau, E.M. Lefshits. *Theoretical physics. 7 (Theory of elasticity)* (Physmatlit, Moscow, 2001, in Russian).
- [23] M. Kitayama, T. Narushima, W.C. Carter, R.M. Cannon, A.M. Glaeser. *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* 83, 2561 (2000); M. Kitayama, T. Narushima, A.M. Glaeser. The Wulff shape of alumina: II, experimental measurements of pore shape evolution rates. *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* 83, 2572 (2000).
- [24] P.G. Cheremskoy, V.V. Slesov, V.I. Betekhtin. *Pore in solid bodies* (Energoatomizdat, Moscow, 1990, in Russian).

- [25] Z.Yu. Gotra, Technology of microelectronic devices (Radio and communication, Moscow, 1991).
- [26] P.M. Fahey, P.B. Griffin, J.D. Plummer. Point defects and dopant diffusion in silicon. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* Vol. 61 (2). P. 289-388 (1989).
- [27] V.L. Vinetskiy, G.A. Kholodar', Radiative physics of semiconductors. ("Naukova Dumka", Kiev, 1979, in Russian).
- [28] M.G. Mynbaeva, E.N. Mokhov, A.A. Lavrent'ev, K.D. Mynbaev. High-temperature diffusion doping of porous silicon carbide. High-temperature diffusion doping of porous silicon carbide. *Techn. Phys. Lett.* Vol. 34 (9), 731-735 (2008).
- [29] Yu.D. Sokolov. About the definition of dynamic forces in the mine lifting. *Applied Mechanics.* Vol.1 (1). P. 23-35 (1955).
- [30] E.L. Pankratov. Dopant diffusion dynamics and optimal diffusion time as influenced by diffusion-coefficient nonuniformity. *Russian Microelectronics.* 2007. V.36 (1). P. 33-39.
- [31] E.L. Pankratov. Redistribution of dopant during annealing of radiative defects in a multilayer structure by laser scans for production an implanted-junction rectifiers. *Int. J. Nanoscience.* Vol. 7 (4-5). P. 187-197 (2008).
- [32] E.L. Pankratov, E.A. Bulaeva. Doping of materials during manufacture p-n-junctions and bipolar transistors. Analytical approaches to model technological approaches and ways of optimization of distributions of dopants. *Reviews in Theoretical Science.* Vol. 1 (1). P. 58-82 (2013).
- [33] E.L. Pankratov, E.A. Bulaeva. Decreasing of quantity of radiation defects in an im-plant-ed-junction rectifiers by using overlayers. *Int. J. Micro-Nano Scale Transp.* Vol. 3 (3). P. 119-130 (2012).
- [34] E.L. Pankratov, E.A. Bulaeva. Optimization of manufacturing of emitter-coupled logic to decrease surface of chip. *International Journal of Modern Physics B.* Vol. 29 (5). P. 1550023-1-1550023-12 (2015).
- [35] E.L. Pankratov. On approach to optimize manufacturing of bipolar heterotransistors framework circuit of an operational amplifier to increase their integration rate. Influence mismatch-induced Stress. *J. Comp. Theor. Nanoscience.* Vol. 14 (10). P. 4885-4899 (2017).
- [36] E.L. Pankratov, E.A. Bulaeva. An approach to increase the integration rate of planar drift heterobipolar transistors. *Materials science in semiconductor processing.* Vol. 34. P. 260-268 (2015).
- [37] E.L. Pankratov. On optimization of manufacturing of two-phase logic circuit based on heterostructures to increase density of their elements. Influence of miss-match induced stress. *Advanced science, engineering and medicine.* Vol. 9 (9). P. 787-801 (2017).
- [38] E.L. Pankratov. Increasing of the sharpness of p-n-junctions by laser pulses. *Nano.* Vol. 6 (1). P. 31-40 (2011).